

1. Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Boston, MA 2. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 3. Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 4. Georgetown University, Washington, DC 5. Cantonal Hospital, Lucerne, Switzerland 6. Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 7. Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea 8. University of California-Irvine, Orange, CA 9. The Chinese University, Evanston, IL 12. Kantonsspital, St. Gallen, Switzerland 13. Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia 14. Tirol Kliniken, Innsbruck, Austria 15. University of Washington, Seattle, WA 16. University of California-Davis, CA. *Emails: acooper@mgh.harvard.edu, jjlin1@partners.org

BACKGROUND

- □ Rearranged during transfection (*RET*) gene alterations are the oncogenic driver in diverse tumor types. RET-selective tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) selpercatinib and pralsetinib are effective, but acquired drug resistance remains a challenge.
- □ Here, we report the initial results from the RETgistry, an international consortium aimed at elucidating mechanisms of resistance to RET TKIs across RET-altered solid tumors.

METHODS

- □ This was a retrospective analysis performed across 16 institutions.
- □ Patients (pts) were eligible if they had an advanced solid tumor harboring an oncogenic RET alteration, received ≥ 1 RET TKI with disease progression, and underwent resistant tumor or liquid biopsy for next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Table 1. Characteristics of enrolled pts.

Characteristic	n (%), n=89
Age at diagnosis, median (range)	58 (21-86)
Male	42 (47)
Never or light smoker	79 (89)
Tumor type	n=89
Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)	73 (82)
Medullary thyroid cancer (MTC)	13 (15)
Papillary thyroid cancer	2 (2)
Anaplastic thyroid cancer	1 (1)
NSCLC, RET fusion	n=73
KIF5B-RET	51 (70)
CCDC6-RET	15 (21)
Other or unknown	7 (10)
MTC, RET mutation	n=13
M918T	7 (54)
Other	6 (46)
RET TKI line of therapy	n=105
First-line	34 (32)
Second-line	42 (40)
Third- or greater-line	29 (28)
Resistant biopsy obtained,	15.4 mos (1.8-
median (range)	58.8)
Baseline co-mutations, pre-RET TKI	n=93
TP53	27 (29)
CDKN2A/B loss	12 (13)



Fig. 1. Summary of samples collected from the



Abstract 9065

Copies of the poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO® or the author of this poster.

First results from the RETgistry: A global consortium for the study of resistance to RET inhibitors in RET-altered tumors

Alissa J. Cooper^{1*}, Alexander Drilon², Julia Rotow³, Stephen V. Liu⁴, Oliver Gautschi⁵, Katherine E. Smith⁶, Dae-Ho Lee⁷, Misako Nagasaka⁸, Herbert H.F. Loong⁹, Nathan Pennell¹⁰, Jyoti D. Patel¹¹, Martin Früh¹², Benjamin Solomon¹³, Georg Pall¹⁴, Natalie Uy¹⁵ Jonathan W. Riess¹⁶, Lori Wirth¹, Beow Y. Yeap¹, Justin F. Gainor¹, Jessica J. Lin^{1*}

RESULTS

- □ 105 time-distinct biopsies were included in analysis, obtained from 89 pts with □ On-target mutations were detected in 15% of thyroid cancer progression on a RET-selective TKI (Fig. 1). 97% of samples had baseline NGS. samples and 12.9% of NSCLC samples; off-target alterations, in 40% of thyroid cancer and 44.7% of NSCLC samples. □ Acquired *RET* mutations were detected in 13% (G810X, in 10%) (Fig. 2, 3).
- □ Median duration of RET TKI preceding biopsies [first-line, n=34] Detential off-target resistance gene alterations identified in 46 cases (44%) included (32%); second-line, n=42 (40%); third-/greater-line, n=29 (28%)] MET amplification (12%), BRAF V600E or fusion (3%), KRAS gain or mutation (5%), ERBB2 amplification (2%), EGFR amplification (3%), ROS1 fusion (1%), ALK was 16.5 months (mos) (95% CI, 14.0-20.0). fusion (1%), and activating *PIK3CA* mutation or *PTEN* loss (5%) (Fig. 2, 4). □ Median PFS was 13.0 mos (95% CI, 9.3-15.88).



Fig. 2. Putative on- and off-target resistance mechanisms detected in post-RET TKI biopsies. The diagnostic method used for MET amplification detection is listed.

Fig. 3. On-target (RET) resistance alterations detected in post-RET TKI biopsies. *G810 and V804M mutations known to be in trans.



Fig. 4. Heatmap depicting gene alterations detected in post-RET-selective TKI biopsies (n=60/105 with putative on- or off-target resistance mechanisms, as in Fig. 2). Light gray = samples in which the NGS assay did not probe the indicated genes. For samples with paired tissue/liquid biopsies (n=10), findings are shown using the upper (tissue) and lower (liquid) triangle, respectively.



RESULTS

□ The duration of TKI therapy (HR 0.87, p=0.64) or PFS (HR 0.79, p=0.42) (Fig. 5) did not differ according to the presence of ontarget vs off-target resistance.



Fig. 5. A) Median duration of RET TKI by the status of RET mutation in post-TKI biopsies. B) Median progression-free survival by the status of RET mutation in post-TKI biopsies. Blue = RET mutation present, red = no RET mutation present.

CONCLUSIONS

- □ On-target resistance to RET inhibition due to acquired RET mutations was less common than off-target resistance, identified in 13% of the analyzed cases.
- □ Several potentially actionable off-target gene alterations were detected in post-treatment biopsies, (e.g., MET amplification, BRAF or KRAS alterations, ALK or ROS1 fusion).
- □ Further studies are warranted to enable the development of strategies to address resistance in pts with RET-altered tumors.

Acknowledgements: We thank the patients and investigators for their contributions, and The Happy Lungs Project for their support.